

EXTENSIONS OF LIPSCHITZ MAPPINGS INTO A HILBERT SPACE

William B. Johnson¹ and Joram Lindenstrauss²

INTRODUCTION

In this note we consider the following extension problem for Lipschitz functions: Given a metric space X and $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$, estimate the smallest constant $L = L(X, n)$ so that every mapping f from every n -element subset of X into ℓ_2 extends to a mapping \tilde{f} from X into ℓ_2 with

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{\text{lip}} \leq L \|f\|_{\text{lip}} .$$

(Here $\|g\|_{\text{lip}}$ is the Lipschitz constant of the function g .) A classical result of Kirszbraun's [14, p. 48] states that $L(\ell_2, n) = 1$ for all n , but it is easy to see that $L(X, n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for many metric spaces X .

Marcus and Pisier [10] initiated the study of $L(X, n)$ for $X = L_p$. (For brevity, we will use hereafter the notation $L(p, n)$ for $L(L_p(0,1), n)$.) They prove that for each $1 < p < 2$ there is a constant $C(p)$ so that for $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$,

$$L(p, n) \leq C(p) (\text{Log } n)^{1/p - 1/2} .$$

The main result of this note is a verification of their conjecture that for some constant C and all $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$,

$$L(X, n) \leq C(\text{Log } n)^{1/2}$$

for all metric spaces X . While our proof is completely different from that of Marcus and Pisier, there is a common theme: Probabilistic techniques developed for linear theory are combined with Kirszbraun's theorem to yield extension theorems.

The main tool for proving Theorem 1 is a simply stated elementary geometric lemma, which we now describe: Given n points in Euclidean space, what

¹Supported in part by NSF MCS-7903042.

²Supported in part by NSF MCS-8102714.

is the smallest $k = k(n)$ so that these points can be moved into k -dimensional Euclidean space via a transformation which expands or contracts all pairwise distances by a factor of at most $1 + \varepsilon$? The answer, that $k \leq C(\varepsilon) \log n$, is a simple consequence of the isoperimetric inequality for the n -sphere in the form studied in [2].

It seems likely that the Marcus-Pisier result and Theorem 1 give the right order of growth for $L(p, n)$. While we cannot verify this, in Theorem 3 we get the estimate

$$L(p, n) \geq \delta \left(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n} \right)^{1/p - 1/2} \quad (1 \leq p < 2)$$

for some absolute constant $\delta > 0$. (Throughout this paper we use the convention that $\log x$ denotes the maximum of 1 and the natural logarithm of x .) This of course gives a lower estimate of

$$\delta \left(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n} \right)^{1/2}$$

for $L(\infty, n)$. That our approach cannot give a lower bound of $\delta(\log n)^{1/p - 1/2}$ for $L(p, n)$ is shown by Theorem 2, which is an extension theorem for mappings into ℓ_2 whose domains are ε -separated.

The minimal notation we use is introduced as needed. Here we note only that $B_Y(y, \varepsilon)$ (respectively, $b_Y(y, \varepsilon)$) is the closed (respectively, open) ball in Y about y of radius ε . If $y = 0$, we use $B_Y(\varepsilon)$ and $b_Y(\varepsilon)$, and we drop the subscript Y when there is no ambiguity. $S(Y)$ is the unit sphere of the normed space Y . For isomorphic normed spaces X and Y , we let

$$d(X, Y) = \inf \|T\| \|T^{-1}\|,$$

where the inf is over all invertible linear operators from X onto Y . Given a bounded Banach space valued function f on a set K , we set

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in K} \|f(x)\|.$$

1. THE EXTENSION THEOREMS

We begin with the geometrical lemma mentioned in the introduction.

LEMMA 1. For each $1 > \tau > 0$ there is a constant $K = K(\tau) > 0$ so that if $A \subset \ell_2^n$, $\bar{A} = n$ for some $n = 2, 3, \dots$, then there is a mapping f from A onto a subset of ℓ_2^k ($k \equiv [K \log n]$) which satisfies

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{\text{lip}} \|\tilde{f}^{-1}\|_{\text{lip}} \leq \frac{1+\tau}{1-\tau}.$$

PROOF. The proof will show that if one chooses at random a rank k orthogonal projection on ℓ_2^n , then, with positive probability (which can be made arbitrarily close to one by adjusting k), the projection restricted to A will satisfy the condition on \tilde{f} . To make this precise, we let Q be the projection onto the first k coordinates of ℓ_2^n and let σ be normalized Haar measure on $O(n)$, the orthogonal group on ℓ_2^n . Then the random variable

$$f : (O(n), \sigma) \rightarrow L(\ell_2^n)$$

defined by

$$f(u) = U * QU$$

determines the notion of "random rank k projection." The applications of Levy's inequality in the first few self-contained pages of [2] make it easy to check that $f(u)$ has the desired property. For the convenience of the reader, we follow the notation of [2].

Let $|||\cdot|||$ denote the usual Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^n and for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ set

$$r(x) = r_k(x) = \sqrt{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k x(i)^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

which is equal to

$$\sqrt{n} |||Qx|||$$

for our eventual choice of $k = [K \log n]$. Thus $r(\cdot)$ is a semi-norm on ℓ_2^n which satisfies

$$r(x) \leq \sqrt{n} |||x||| \quad (x \in \ell_2^n).$$

(In [2], $r(\cdot)$ is assumed to be a norm, but inasmuch as the left estimate $a|||x||| \leq r(x)$ in formula (2.5) of [2] is not needed in the present situation, it is okay that $r(\cdot)$ is only a semi-norm.)

Setting

$$B = \left\{ \frac{x-y}{|||x-y|||} : x, y \in A; x \neq y \right\} \subset S^{n-1},$$

we want to select $U \in O(n)$ so that for some constant M ,

$$M(1 - \tau) \leq r(Ux) \leq M(1 + \tau) \quad (x \in B).$$

Let M_r be the median of $r(\cdot)$ on S^{n-1} , so that

$$\mu_{n-1}[x \in S^{n-1} : r(x) \geq M_r] \geq 1/2$$

and

$$\mu_{n-1}[x \in S^{n-1} : r(x) \leq M_r] \leq 1/2$$

where μ_{n-1} is normalized rotationally invariant measure on S^{n-1} .

We have from page 58 of [2] that for each $y \in S^{n-1}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sigma[U \in O(n) : M_r - \sqrt{n} \varepsilon \leq r(Uy) \leq M_r + \sqrt{n} \varepsilon] \geq 1 - 4 \exp\left(\frac{-n\varepsilon^2}{2}\right).$$

Hence

$$(1.1) \quad \sigma[U \in O(n) : M_r - \sqrt{n} \varepsilon \leq r(Uy) \leq M_r + \sqrt{n} \varepsilon \text{ for all } y \in B] \geq \\ \geq 1 - 2n(n+1) \exp\left(\frac{-n\varepsilon^2}{2}\right).$$

By Lemma 1.7 of [2], there is a constant

$$C \leq 4 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (m+1) e^{-m^2/2}$$

so that

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \int_{S^{n-1}} r(x) d\mu_{n-1}(x) - M_r \right| < C.$$

We now repeat a known argument for estimating $\int_{S^{n-1}} r(x) d\mu_{n-1}(x)$ which uses only Khintchine's inequality.

For $1 \leq k \leq n$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Av} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \pm x(i) \right| d\mu_{n-1}(x) = \\ & = \text{Av} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left| \langle x, \sum_{i=1}^k \pm \delta_i \rangle \right| d\mu_{n-1}(x) \\ & = \sqrt{k} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left| \langle x, \delta_1 \rangle \right| d\mu_{n-1}(x) \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{by the rotational} \\ \text{invariance of } \mu_{n-1} \end{array} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\alpha_n = \int_{S^{n-1}} \left| \langle x, \delta_1 \rangle \right| d\mu_{n-1}(x),$$

(
1
S
(
S
tl

at

we

whi

pro
{x_i
bel
ort
l₂

we have from Khintchine's inequality that for each $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\sqrt{nk} \alpha_n \leq \int_{S^{n-1}} r_k(x) d\mu_{n-1}(x) \leq \sqrt{2nk} \alpha_n.$$

(We plugged in the exact constant of $\sqrt{2}$ in Khintchine's inequality calculated in [5] and [13], but of course any constant would serve as well.)

Since obviously $r_n(x) = \sqrt{n}$, we conclude that for $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$(1.3) \quad \sqrt{k/3} \leq \int_{S^{n-1}} r_k(x) d\mu_{n-1}(x) \leq \sqrt{k}.$$

Specializing now to the case $k = [K \log n]$, we have from (1.2) and (1.3) that

$$\sqrt{k/3} \leq M_r$$

at least for $K \log n$ sufficiently large. Thus if we define

$$\varepsilon = \tau \sqrt{k/3n}$$

we get from (1.1) that

$$\sigma [U \in O(n) : (1 - \tau)M_r \leq r(Uy) \leq (1 + \tau)M_r \text{ for all } y \in B]$$

$$\geq 1 - 2n(n+1) \exp\left(-\frac{\tau^2 k}{18}\right)$$

$$\geq 1 - 2n(n+1) \exp\left(-\frac{\tau^2 K \log n}{18}\right)$$

which is positive if, say,

$$K \geq (10/\tau)^2. \quad \square$$

uses

It is easily seen that the estimate $K \log n$ in Lemma 1 cannot be improved. Indeed, in a ball of radius 2 in ℓ_2^k there are at most 4^k vectors $\{x_i\}$ so that $\|x_i - x_j\| \geq 1$ for every $i \neq j$ (see the proof of Lemma 3 below). Hence for τ sufficiently small there is no map F which maps an orthonormal set with more than 4^k vectors into a k -dimensional subspace of ℓ_2 with

$$\|F\|_{\ell_{ip}} \|F^{-1}\|_{\ell_{ip}} \leq \frac{1 + \tau}{1 - \tau}.$$

We can now verify the conjecture of Marcus and Pisier [10].