

2.7.1 — Foundations of Proof Systems

Exam

Nov. 27th 2023

Durée de l'épreuve : 2 heures. Length of the exam : 2 hours.

1 HOL

For conciseness I write $\forall X^T \dots$ instead of $(\forall_T \lambda X^T \dots)$.

Question 1 Given two propositions A and B in HOL, what do the following propositions correspond to? (in natural language)

1. $\forall X^o . A \implies X^o$
2. $\forall X^o . (A \implies B \implies X^o) \implies X^o$
3. $\forall X^o . (A \implies X^o) \implies X^o$
4. $\forall X^o . ((A \implies B) \implies X^o) \implies ((B \implies A) \implies X^o) \implies X^o$ \diamond

Question 2 Same question for the following constructions, given a property $P : \iota \rightarrow o$ and a relation $R : \iota \rightarrow \iota \rightarrow o$.

1. $\forall x^t . \forall y^t . (R x^t y^t) \implies (R y^t x^t) \implies \forall Q^{\iota \rightarrow o} . (Q x^t) \implies (Q y^t)$
2. $\forall X^o . (\forall x^t . (P x^t) \implies X^o) \implies X^o$
3. $\lambda a^t . \lambda b^t . \forall X^{\iota \rightarrow o} . (X^{\iota \rightarrow o} a^t) \implies (\forall x^t . \forall y^t . (X^{\iota \rightarrow o} x^t) \implies (R x^t y^t) \implies (X^{\iota \rightarrow o} y^t)) \implies (X^{\iota \rightarrow o} b^t)$ \diamond

2 System F

We use the usual encoding of natural numbers in System F as Church Numerals of the following type :

$$\text{nat} \equiv \forall X . X \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X$$

Question 3 Define the type NN which encodes the pairs of natural numbers, as well as the corresponding terms :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pair} & : \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat} \rightarrow NN \\ \pi_1 & : NN \rightarrow \text{nat} \\ \pi_2 & : NN \rightarrow \text{nat} \end{aligned} \quad \diamond$$

Question 4 Define the term $s : NN \rightarrow NN$ corresponding to the function $(n, m) \mapsto (S n, n)$. \diamond

Question 5 Use this to define a predecessor function over nat . \diamond

3 Lists in Type Theory

We start not in Type Theory, but in System T, that is simply-typed λ -calculus with the constants :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & : N \\ S & : N \rightarrow N \\ R_T & : T \rightarrow (N \rightarrow T \rightarrow T) \rightarrow N \rightarrow T \quad (\text{for any type } T) \end{aligned}$$

and the usual reduction rules for R_T .

Question 6 Extend this with corresponding constructions for a type list_T of lists whose elements are of type T with constants nil_T and cons_T . You can call RL_T the recursion operator over these lists. Give the corresponding reduction rules. \diamond

Question 7 Transpose this to Martin-Löf's Type Theory (MLTT) by giving a dependent typing for this RL_T operator, so that it becomes an extension of MLTT. \diamond

Independently, we extend MLTT with an operator $D : N \rightarrow \text{Type}$ with two reduction rules :

$$\begin{aligned} (D\ 0) & \triangleright \top \\ (D\ (S\ t)) & \triangleright \perp \end{aligned}$$

Question 8 Use this new operator to prove $0 =_N (S\ 0) \rightarrow \perp$ in this extension of MLTT. \diamond

Question 9 We now want to prove $\Pi x : T . \Pi l : \text{list}_T . \text{nil}_T =_{\text{list}_T} (\text{cons}_T\ x\ l) \rightarrow \perp$.

Do you need additional operator to prove this or can you do with the operator D of the previous question? How do you proceed? \diamond

4 Surjective Pairing

One considers the following additional reduction rule for Martin-Löf's Type Theory :

$$(\pi_1(t), \pi_2(t)) \triangleright_{SR} t$$

This reduction rule is know as the *surjective pairing* reduction. Note that the rule is not linear (the two occurrences of t in the left hand part need to be identical).

Question 10 Show that this rule enjoys the subject reduction property. That is, if $\Gamma \vdash (\pi_1(t), \pi_2(t)) : U$, then $\Gamma \vdash t : U$. \diamond

5 Markov's Principle

In this section, we work in Martin-Löf's Type Theory (MLTT). We consider that P is a predicate over natural numbers, that is an object of type $N \rightarrow \text{Type}$.

Question 11 Show that, for at least some values of P , the proposition $\neg\neg(\Sigma n : N.P\ n) \rightarrow \Sigma n : N.P\ n$ is not provable in MLTT. \diamond

The soviet mathematician Andrei Markov proposed a version of this proposition, weakened in order to preserve constructivity. He suggested to admit the axiom $\neg\neg(\Sigma n : N.P n) \rightarrow \Sigma n : N.P n$ but only for decidable properties, that is provided the following is provable : $\forall n : N.P n + \neg(P n)$. (Here $+$ denotes the sum type operator in MLTT).

In other words, Markov proposed to accept the following axiom scheme, which is thus known as *Markov's principle* :

$$(\forall n : N.P n + \neg(P n)) \rightarrow \neg\neg(\Sigma n : N.P n) \rightarrow \Sigma n : N.P n.$$

Question 12 Explain informally why Markov's principle can be constructive; that is how one could give evidence for Markov's principle in Heyting's semantics. \diamond

(For the record, it is possible, but difficult, to show that Markov's principle is not provable in MLTT (or in Heyting's arithmetic).)

One proposes to extend MLTT with a specific term corresponding to Markov's principle in the Curry-Howard setting.

Given terms P, d, p, n , one has a new term $MP_P(d, p, n)$. One adds the following typing rule :

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P : N \rightarrow \text{Type} \quad \Gamma \vdash d : \forall n : N.P n + \neg(P n) \quad \Gamma \vdash p : \neg\neg(\Sigma n : N.P n)}{\Gamma \vdash MP_P(d, p, 0) : \Sigma n : N.P n}$$

One suggests the following reduction rule :

$$(R_{MP}) \quad MP_P(d, p, n) \triangleright \delta(d n, x.(n x), y.MP_P(d, p, (S n)))$$

Remember δ is the elimination operator for sum types, that is logical disjunction.

Question 13 Explain the idea behind this MP operator and this reduction rule. \diamond

Question 14 Show that this R_{MP} reduction rule is not strongly normalizable (or in other words, that MLTT with this reduction rule is not strongly normalizable). *This should be very short.* \diamond

Question 15 Show that the system with the R_{MP} reduction rule is not weakly normalizable either. *Hint : you may look at the next question to find the idea.* \diamond

One therefore suggests the following restriction : *the R_{MP} reduction can only be performed when the terms d and p are closed (that is they contain no free variable).*

Question 16 Sketch a proof of weak normalization for MLTT extended by this restricted R_{MP} reduction rule. \diamond